

On spectral geometry of manifolds with conic singularities

Asilya Suleymanova

Abstract

We derive asymptotic expansion of the heat trace for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on an even-dimensional manifold with a metrically conic singularity. Then we investigate how the terms in the expansion reflect geometry of a manifold. In four-dimensional case the criterion for a neighbourhood of a singularity to be isometric to a disk is proven.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Geometric setup and differential operators	3
2.2	Discreteness of the spectrum	4
2.3	Trace lemma	6
2.4	Regularized integrals and Singular Asymptotics Lemma	8
3	Main computations	13
3.1	Local expansion of the heat kernel	13
3.2	Curvature tensor in polar coordinates	15
3.3	Expansion of the trace of the resolvent	17
3.4	The heat trace expansion	26
4	Assembling the proofs of the theorems and examples	31
4.1	Compact surface with conic singularity	32
4.2	4-dimensional manifold with conic singularity	33
4.3	Example $N = S_A^3$	36
4.4	Example $N = \mathbb{R}P^3$	38
4.5	Example $N = T^3$	39

1 Introduction

It has been shown by Jochen Brüning and Robert T. Seeley in [BS], that if L is a second order elliptic operator of the form $L = -\partial_r^2 + r^{-2}A(r)$, where $A(r)$ is a smooth family of operators satisfying certain conditions, then there exists an asymptotic expansion of the trace $\text{tr } e^{-tL}$, as $t \rightarrow 0$. In this paper we show that the Friedrichs extension of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on a Riemannian manifold with metrically conic singularities satisfies the conditions of the article [BS], and compute the terms in the expansion of the trace of the heat operator. After that we study connections between the expansion and geometry.

Consider an oriented compact Riemannian manifold $\overline{M} = \overline{U} \cup M_1$, where $\overline{U} = [0, \varepsilon) \times N$ with $\varepsilon > 0$, and M_1 is a compact smooth manifold with n -dimensional boundary N , n is odd. Without loss of generality we assume that M has one metrically conic singularity, if it has many we use a partition of unity. The line in the notation indicates that the manifold $\overline{M}$ is compact, for an open manifold we omit the line and write M , also $U = (0, \varepsilon) \times N$. Near the singularity we choose the following coordinates $(r, x^1, \dots, x^n)$, such that $r \in (0, \varepsilon)$, and metric is given by

$$g_U = dr^2 + r^2 g_N,$$

where g_N is a metric on N . We consider analysis on an incomplete Riemannian manifold M and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\dim M$ be even and Δ be the Friedrichs extension of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on functions with compact support on M , then*

$$\text{tr } e^{-t\Delta} \sim_{t \rightarrow 0+} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j + b + c \log t, \quad (1.1)$$

(1) where

$$a_j = \begin{cases} \int_M u_j(p) d\text{vol}_M & \text{for } j \leq \dim M/2 - 1 \\ \mathcal{f}_M u_j(p) d\text{vol}_M & \text{for } j > \dim M/2 - 1, \end{cases}$$

here $\mathcal{f}$ denotes regularized integrals that we define below, $u_j(p)$ are polynomials in curvature tensors and its derivatives for $p \in M$.

(2) Constant term b cannot be written in terms of local quantities as a_j .

(3) Logarithmic term is

$$c = -\frac{1}{2} (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \int_N r^{\dim M} u_{\frac{\dim M}{2}}(p) d\text{vol}_N|_{r=0}.$$

- (4) *If the manifold M has no singularity, then $b = c = 0$ and the integrals in a_j do not need any regularization for $\forall j \geq 0$.*

The spectral geometry of two-dimensional case is developed for algebraic curves by Jochen Brüning and Matthias Lesch in [BL]. In this paper we present spectral geometry of a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold with conic singularities.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\dim M = 4$.*

- (1) *Logarithmic term in the heat trace expansion is equal to zero if and only if the neighbourhood of the singularity is locally isometric to a disk D^4 .*
- (2) *Assume that logarithmic term in the heat trace expansion is equal to zero. Then no regularization is needed for a_j , for $j \geq 0$. In this case only constant term feels the presence of a singularity.*

Note that cone over three-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{R}P^3$ and cone over S^3 are both locally isometric to a disk D^4 , as well as cone over any spherical space form.

The paper is organized as follows. In the Section 2 we present a geometrical setup, then define regularized integrals and state the main lemma from the paper [BS], Singular Asymptotics Lemma. In the Section 3 we prove that the conditions of the Singular Asymptotics Lemma are satisfied in case of conic singularities, and apply it to the expansion of the trace of the resolvent. In the Section 3.4 we compute all coefficients in the heat trace expansion for an even-dimensional manifold with conic singularities. The Section 4 starts with the proof of the Theorem 1.1, in the Section 4.2 the proof of the Theorem 1.2 is given. We conclude with some illustrative examples.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Geometric setup and differential operators

Consider an oriented compact Riemannian manifold $\overline{M} = \overline{U} \cup M_1$ with one metrically conic singularity, where $\overline{U} = [0, \varepsilon) \times N$ with $\varepsilon > 0$, and M_1 is compact smooth manifold with n -dimensional boundary N , n is odd. The line in the notation indicates that the manifold $\overline{M}$ is compact, for an open manifold we omit the line and write M , also $U = (0, \varepsilon) \times N$.

Consider space of smooth functions with compact support $C_c^\infty(M)$ on non-complete manifold M , and space of differential one-forms with compact support $\lambda_c^1(M) := C_c^\infty(\Lambda T^*M)$. There are first order differential operators

defined between these spaces, exterior derivative $d : C_c^\infty \rightarrow \lambda_c^1(M)$ and its formal adjoint determined by the metric $d^\dagger : \lambda_c^1(M) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(M)$. Furthermore we define Laplace-Beltrami operator on smooth functions with compact support $\Delta := d^\dagger d$. The operators d , $d^\dagger$ are densely defined in $L^2(M)$ and $L^2(\Lambda T^*M)$ correspondingly.

Proposition 2.1. *Operator $\Delta : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ is densely defined, symmetric and nonnegative.*

Proof. The operator is densely defined, because both operators d and $d^\dagger$ are densely defined. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$, then

$$(\Delta f, f) = (d^\dagger df, f) = (df, df) = (f, \Delta f) \geq 0,$$

from this follows that Δ is symmetric and nonnegative. $\square$

From the proposition follows that the operator Δ admits self-adjoint extensions. There can be many of them, but in this article we choose the Friedrichs extension Δ^F , which we sometimes denote simply by Δ .

Below we discuss an asymptotic expansion of the trace of the resolvent of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, as well as an expansion of the heat trace of it. For this purpose we deal with the operator separately on singular part of the manifold $\Delta|_U$, and regular part $\Delta|_{M_1}$. For $\Delta|_{M_1}$ we use methods applicable for a smooth compact manifold. As for singular part we show that Laplace-Beltrami operator is unitary equivalent to a regular singular operator, then consider this operator on an infinite cone and use Singular Asymptotics Lemma from [BS]. To glue the result on an infinite cone and the result on M_1 we use a partition of unity.

Near the singularity we choose the following coordinates $(r, x^1, \dots, x^n)$, such that $r \in (0, \varepsilon)$, and metric is given by

$$g_U = dr^2 + r^2 g_N,$$

where g_N is a metric on N .

2.2 Discreteness of the spectrum

To be able to discuss when a certain operator has a discrete spectrum we first recall the following theorem about a compact operator.

Theorem 2.2. *Let H be a Hilbert space and $A : H \rightarrow H$ a compact operator. Then $\text{Spec}(A)$ is at most countable set which has no nonzero accumulation points. If H is infinite dimensional then $0 \in \text{Spec}(A)$. If $0 \neq \lambda \in \text{Spec}(A)$ then λ is an eigenvalue of A of finite multiplicity.*

For the proof of the theorem see [W] Theorem 6.7, 6.8.

Denote by $\text{Spec}(A)$ spectrum and by $\text{res}(A)$ the resolvent set of an operator A .

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $A : H \rightarrow H$ a self adjoint operator. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (1) *there exists a real sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an orthonormal basis $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H , such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_n| = +\infty$ and $Au_n = \lambda_n u_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- (2) *operator A has a discrete spectrum;*
- (3) *the resolvent is compact for one $\lambda_0 \in \text{res}(A)$, hence for all $\lambda \in \text{res}(A)$;*
- (4) *the embedding map $i_A : (D(A), \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow H$ is compact.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) From (1) follows that $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and it has no finite accumulation point.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $E := \{u_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a maximal orthonormal set of eigenfunctions of A , however E can be empty. Denote by λ_i the eigenvalue which corresponds to u_i for $i \in I$. We show that $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Proof by contradiction. Assume that there is $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(A)$, but $\lambda \notin \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then there is an eigenfunction corresponding to λ , such that $\|u\| = 1$ and $u \perp u_i$ for every $u_i \in E$, because eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other. So the set E is not maximal, a contradiction. Therefore $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Observe the following identity

$$A - \lambda_i = ((A - \mu)^{-1} - (\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1})(A - \mu)(\mu - \lambda_i), \quad (2.1)$$

it implies that $(A - \mu)(\mu - \lambda_i)$ is a bijection of $\ker(A - \lambda_i)$ to $\ker((A - \mu)^{-1} - (\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1})$. Hence $\lambda_i \in \text{Spec}(A)$ if and only if $(\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1} \in \text{Spec}((A - \mu)^{-1})$. Consequently

$$\text{Spec}(A - \mu)^{-1} \setminus \{0\} = \{(\lambda_i - \mu)^{-1}\}_{i \in I}.$$

Denote by $U \subset H$ closed linear subspace generated by $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$ and show that $U = H$. Since $\text{Spec}(A)$ has no finite accumulation point, the resolvent set cannot be empty. Let $\mu \in \text{res}(A)$. Then $(A - \mu)^{-1} : H \rightarrow H$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator which leaves U and $U^\perp$ invariant. Assume that $U \neq H$, i.e. $U^\perp \neq \{0\}$, then $B := (A - \mu)^{-1}|_{U^\perp}$ is a self-adjoint operator on $U^\perp$. Therefore $\ker(B) = \{0\}$ and we can find $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A - \mu)^{-1}$. By (2.1) $\lambda = (\lambda_j - \mu)^{-1}$ for some $j \in I$. Since $\text{Spec}(A)$ has no finite accumulation point, we have that $\text{Spec}(B) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A - \mu)^{-1}$ has no accumulation point,

consequently $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B)$ is an isolated point. Therefore there exists $v \in U^\perp$, such that

$$Bv = \lambda v,$$

and by $B = (A - \mu)^{-1}|_{U^\perp}$ we get

$$(A - \mu)^{-1}v = (\lambda_k - \mu)^{-1}v,$$

hence $Av = \lambda_k v$ and $v \in U^\perp \perp E$. This contradicts the maximality of E . We conclude $U = H$, and $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis of H . Since $\dim H = \infty$ and λ_i has no finite accumulation point, $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_n| = \infty$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) The operator $(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ has orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions and the sequence $\{(\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of corresponding eigenvalues converges to zero. Therefore $(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ is a limit of the sequence of finite rank operators, i.e. operators acting on a finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, which are compact operators. Hence $(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ is compact.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $\lambda, \lambda_0 \in \text{res}(A)$. From the resolvent identity

$$(A - \lambda)^{-1} - (A - \lambda_0)^{-1} = (\lambda - \lambda_0)(A - \lambda)^{-1}(A - \lambda_0)^{-1},$$

if $(A - \lambda_0)^{-1}$ is compact for some $\lambda_0 \in \text{res}(A)$, then for all $\lambda \in \text{res}(A)$. By Theorem 2.2 all nonzero numbers in the spectrum of compact operator $(A - \lambda_0)^{-1}$ are eigenvalues of finite multiplicities which have no nonzero accumulation point. By (2.1) operator A has discrete spectrum.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let $X \subset (D(A), \|\cdot\|_A)$ be a bounded subset, then $Y := (A - \lambda)X$ is bounded in H . By (3) $(A - \lambda)^{-1}Y = X$ is relatively compact in H , consequently $i : (D(A), \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow H$ is compact.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $X \subset H$ be a bounded subset. For $x \in H$ observe

$$\|A(A - \lambda)^{-1}x\| = \|x + \lambda(A - \lambda)^{-1}x\| \leq (1 + |\lambda| \|(A - \lambda)^{-1}\|)\|x\|,$$

it implies $(A - \lambda)^{-1}X \subset (D(A), \|\cdot\|_A)$ is bounded. Since i_A is compact, $(A - \lambda)^{-1}X \subset H$ is relatively compact. Thus $(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ is compact. $\square$

2.3 Trace lemma

In this subsection we proof the Trace Lemma following [BS] Appendix A. Let H be a Hilbert space. Denote by $S_1(H)$ trace class operators, i.e. the first Schatten class of operators, also denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\text{tr}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ trace norm and Hilbert-Schmidt operator norm correspondingly.

Lemma 2.4. (*Trace Lemma*) Let T be a trace class operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$. Then T has a kernel $t(x, y)$ (i.e. for $u(x) \in \text{dom}(T)$ we have $Tu(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t(x, y)u(y)dy$), such that

$$h \mapsto t(\cdot, \cdot + h)$$

is a continuous map into $L^1(\mathbb{R}, S_1(H))$. Furthermore

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|t(x, x)\|_{\text{tr}} dx \leq \|T\|_{\text{tr}}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr}(t(x, x)) dx = \text{tr} T.$$

Proof. Since T is trace class, there are two Hilbert-Schmidt operators R, S such that $T = RS$ and

$$\|T\|_{\text{tr}} = \|R\|_{HS} \|S\|_{HS}.$$

Denote by $r(x, y)$ and $s(x, y)$ integral kernels of R and S , then

$$Ru(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(x, y)u(y)dy,$$

where for almost all (x, y) we have $\|r(x, y)\|_{HS} < \infty$ and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|r(x, y)\|_{HS}^2 dx dy = \|R\|_{HS}^2 < \infty.$$

The same is true for the operator S , hence $T = RS$ has the following kernel

$$t(x, y) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(x, w)s(w, y)dw,$$

such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|t(x, x)\|_{\text{tr}} dx \leq \|R\|_{HS} \|S\|_{HS} = \|T\|_{\text{tr}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Denote by $L_h u(x) := u(x - h)$ a shift operator. Since the family L_h is strongly continuous, SL_h is continuous with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Therefore

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|t(x, x + h) - t(x, x + h_0)\|_{\text{tr}} dx \leq \|R\|_{HS} \|SL_h - SL_{h_0}\|_{HS} \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow h_0} 0,$$

and the map $h \mapsto t(\cdot, \cdot + h)$ is continuous.

It remains to show the last claim of the lemma $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr}(t(x, x)) dx = \text{tr} T$. Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis of $L^2(R) \otimes H$, then

$$Ru(x) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} r_{jk} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u(y), \varphi_k(y)) dy \varphi_j(x),$$

where $\sum_{j,k}^{\infty} r_{jk}^2 = \|R\|_{HS}^2$ and the kernel of R is

$$r(x, y) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} r_{jk}(\cdot, \varphi_k(y)) \varphi_j(x).$$

Denote by R_n an operator with the kernel

$$r_n(x, y) = \sum_{0 < j+k < n} r_{jk}(\cdot, \varphi_k(y)) \varphi_j(x).$$

Analogously define operators S_n , then $T_n := R_n S_n$ has the following kernel

$$t_n(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r_n(x, w) s_n(w, y) dw = \sum_{\substack{0 < j+k < n \\ 0 < m+k < n}} r_{jk} s_{km}(\cdot, \varphi_m(y)) \varphi_j(x).$$

Since $\text{tr}(\cdot, \varphi)\psi = (\psi, \varphi)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } T_n &= \sum_{\substack{0 < j+k < n \\ 0 < m+k < n}} r_{jk} s_{km} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{0 < j+k < n \\ 0 < m+k < n}} r_{jk} s_{km}(\varphi_j(x), \varphi_m(x)) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr}(t_n(x, x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in (2.2) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|t(x, x)\|_{\text{tr}} dx \leq \|T\|_{\text{tr}},$$

hence we can take a limit

$$\text{tr } T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr } T_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr}(t_n(x, x)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr}(t(x, x)) dx.$$

□

2.4 Regularized integrals and Singular Asymptotics Lemma

In this section we define a regularized integral over the interval $(0, \infty)$ of certain class of locally integrable functions using Mellin transform. We follow Section 2.1 in the revised version of habilitation paper by Matthias Lesch [L]. First we recall the definition of Mellin transform and specify the class of functions we will work with.

Definition 1. Let H be a Hilber space. For a function $f \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty), H)$ Mellin transform is defined by

$$Mf(s) := \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} f(x) dx,$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $p, q > 0$ be real numbers and denote $L_{loc}^1(0, \infty) := L_{loc}^1((0, \infty))$.

Definition 2. Let $f \in L_{loc}^1(0, \infty)$ be locally integrable function, such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^{m_j} a_{jk} x^{\alpha_j} \log^k x + x^p f_1(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=0}^{m'_j} b_{jk} x^{\beta_j} \log^k x + x^{-q} f_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1 \in L_{loc}^1([0, \infty))$, $f_2 \in L^1([1, \infty))$ and $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with real part $Re\alpha_j \leq p-1$ increasing and $Re\beta_j \geq -q-1$ decreasing. Denote class of such functions by $L_{p,q}(0, \infty) \subset L_{loc}^1(0, \infty)$.

Also denote

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\infty,q}(0, \infty) &:= \cap_{p>0} L_{p,q}(0, \infty), \\ L_{p,\infty}(0, \infty) &:= \cap_{q>0} L_{p,q}(0, \infty), \\ L_{as}(0, \infty) &:= L_{\infty,\infty}(0, \infty) := \cap_{p>0} L_{p,\infty}(0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $f \in L_{p,q}(0, \infty)$ and $Res > -\min_{1 \leq j \leq N} \{Re\alpha_j\}$ the function $x^{s-1}f(x)$ is locally integrable with respect to x on $[0, \infty)$.

We extend Mellin transform to $f \in L_{p,q}(0, \infty)$ by splitting it into two integrals. For $c > 0$ denote

$$(Mf)(s) := (M_{[0,c]}f)(s) + (M_{[c,\infty]}f)(s) := \int_0^c x^{s-1} f(x) dx + \int_c^\infty x^{s-1} f(x) dx.$$

The next proposition shows that the Mellin transform is correctly defined.

Proposition 2.5. Let $p, q > 0$, $f \in L_{pq}(0, \infty)$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $1-p < Res < 1+q$, then

$$(Mf)(s) = (M_{[0,c]}f)(s) + (M_{[c,\infty]}f)(s)$$

is a meromorphic function in a strip $1-p < Res < 1+q$ and is independent of c . Moreover the continuation of $(Mf)(s)$ may have poles at most of order $m_j + 1$ at $\pm\alpha_j$ and $m'_j + 1$ at $\pm\beta_j$ in the notations of Definition 2.

Proof. Using the notations of Definition 2 we obtain

$$(M_{[0,c]}f)(s) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^{m_j} a_{jk} \int_0^c x^{\alpha_j+s-1} \log^k x dx + \int_0^c x^{p+s-1} f_1(x) dx.$$

Compute the integral under the sum applying integration by parts two times

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &:= \int_0^c x^{\alpha_j+s-1} \log^k x dx \\ &= (\alpha_j + s)^{-1} x^{\alpha_j+s} \log^k x \Big|_0^c - \int_0^c (\alpha_j + s)^{-1} x^{\alpha_j+s-1} k \log^{k-1} x dx \\ &= (\alpha_j + s)^{-1} x^{\alpha_j+s} \log^k x \Big|_0^c - (\alpha_j + s)^{-2} x^{\alpha_j+s} k \log^{k-1} x \Big|_0^c \\ &\quad + \int_0^c (\alpha_j + s)^{-2} x^{\alpha_j+s-1} k(k-1) \log^{k-2} x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Res} + \operatorname{Re} \alpha_j > 0$ for every j , evaluation of the first and the second summands at 0 gives zero. Applying integration by parts k times we obtain

$$I_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-i} k!}{i!} c^{\alpha_j+s} \log^i c (\alpha_j + s)^{-k-1+i},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{[0,c]}f)(s) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^{m_j} a_{jk} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-i} k!}{i!} c^{\alpha_j+s} \log^i c (\alpha_j + s)^{-k-1+i} \\ &\quad + \int_0^c x^{p+s-1} f_1(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the function $(M_{[0,c]}f)(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the half plane $\operatorname{Res} > 1 - p$ with poles of order $m_j + 1$ at points $\pm \alpha_j$.

Now consider

$$(M_{[c,\infty]}f)(s) = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=0}^{m'_j} b_{jk} \int_c^\infty x^{\beta_j+s-1} \log^k x dx + \int_c^\infty x^{-q+s-1} f_2(x) dx.$$

Compute the integral under the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
I'_j &:= \int_c^\infty x^{\beta_j+s-1} \log^k x dx \\
&= (\beta_j + s)^{-1} x^{\beta_j+s} \log^k x \Big|_c^\infty - \int_c^\infty (\beta_j + s)^{-1} x^{\beta_j+s-1} k \log^{k-1} x dx \\
&= (\beta_j + s)^{-1} x^{\beta_j+s} \log^k x \Big|_c^\infty - (\beta_j + s)^{-2} x^{\beta_j+s} k \log^{k-1} x \Big|_c^\infty \\
&\quad + \int_c^\infty (\beta_j + s)^{-2} x^{\beta_j+s-1} k(k-1) \log^{k-2} x dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Res} < 1 + q \leq -\text{Re}\beta_j$, we have $\text{Res} + \text{Re}\beta_j < 0$ for every j , and evaluation of the first and the second term at ∞ both give zero. Apply integration by parts k times to get

$$I'_j = - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-i} k!}{i!} c^{\beta_j+s} \log^i c (\beta_j + s)^{-k-1+i},$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(M_{[c,\infty]} f)(s) &= - \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=0}^{m'_j} b_{jk} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-i} k!}{i!} c^{\beta_j+s} \log^i c (\beta_j + s)^{-k-1+i} \\
&\quad + \int_c^\infty x^{-q+s-1} f_2(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the function $(M_{[c,\infty]} f)(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the half plane $\text{Res} < 1 + q$ with poles of order $m'_j + 1$ at points $\pm\beta_j$.

Taking into account that by definition

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = f(c) - f(c) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^{m_j} a_{jk} c^{\alpha_j} \log^k c + c^p f_1(c) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{k=0}^{m'_j} b_{jk} c^{\beta_j} \log^k c - c^{-q} f_2(c),
\end{aligned}$$

we get that $(Mf)(s) = (M_{[0,c]} f)(s) + (M_{[c,\infty]} f)(s)$ does not depend on the choice of $c > 0$. However the poles may cancel out, therefore they may be of lower order. $\square$

Let f be a meromorphic function, denote by $Res_k f(z_0)$ the coefficient of $(z - z_0)^{-k}$ in the Laurent expansion of f near z_0

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} Res_{-k} f(z_0) (z - z_0)^k.$$

Definition 3. Let $f \in L_{p,q}(0, \infty)$. *Regularized integral* is the constant coefficient in the Laurent expansion near $s = 1$ of the Mellin transform, i.e.

$$\oint_0^{\infty} f(x) dx := Res_0(Mf)(1).$$

The idea behind the expansion near the singularity is to use the following lemma from [BS]. Let $C := \{|\arg \zeta| < \pi - \epsilon\}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 2.6. (*Singular Asymptotics Lemma*) Let $\sigma(r, \zeta)$ be defined on $\mathbb{R} \times C$ and satisfy the following conditions

- (1) $\sigma(r, \zeta)$ is C^∞ with respect to r and has analytic derivatives with respect to ζ ;
- (2) there exist Schwartz functions $\sigma_{\alpha j}(r) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, such that for $|\zeta| \geq 1$ and $0 \leq r \leq |\zeta|/C_0$

$$\left| r^J \partial_r^K \left(\sigma(r, \zeta) - \sum_{Re \alpha \geq -M} \sum_{j=0}^{J_\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha j}(r) \zeta^\alpha \log^j \zeta \right) \right| \leq C_{JKM} |\zeta|^{-M};$$

- (3) (*integrability condition*) the derivatives $\sigma^{(j)}(r, \zeta) := \partial_r^j \sigma(r, \zeta)$ satisfy uniformly for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $|\xi| = C_0$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^j |\sigma^{(j)}(\theta st, s\xi)| ds dt \leq C_j.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \sigma(r, rz) dr &\sim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{-l-1} \oint_0^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^k}{k!} \sigma^{(k)}(0, \zeta) d\zeta \\ &\quad \sum_{\alpha, j} \oint_0^{\infty} \sigma_{\alpha j}(r) (rz)^\alpha \log^j(rz) dr \\ &\quad \sum_{\alpha=-1}^{-\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{J_\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha j}^{(-\alpha-1)}(0) \frac{z^\alpha \log^{j+1} z}{(j+1)(-\alpha-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Main computations

The main purpose of these article is to obtain asymptotic expansion of the heat trace on the manifold M . First we recall the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel along the diagonal, which is a local result and does not require compactness of the manifold.

3.1 Local expansion of the heat kernel

Let Δ be Laplace-Beltrami operator on a Riemannian manifold M . Denote by $e^{-t\Delta}(p)$ heat kernel along the diagonal for $p \in M$. The next proposition gives an expansion of the heat kernel along the diagonal on any compact subset of a Riemannian manifold.

Proposition 3.1. (*[BGM] Section E*) *Let $K \subset M$ be any compact set and $p \in K$. There is an asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel on the diagonal*

$$\|e^{-t\Delta}(p) - (4\pi t)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^j t^i u_i(p)\| \leq C(K) t^{j+1},$$

where $C(K)$ is some constant which depends on the compact set K . Moreover $u_0(p) = 1$ and $u_1(p) = \frac{1}{6} \text{Scal}(p)$, where $\text{Scal}(p)$ is the scalar curvature of M at a point p , and all $u_i(p)$ are polynomials on curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives.

Furthermore ([G] p.201 Theorem 3.3.1)

$$u_2(p) = \frac{1}{360} (12\Delta \text{Scal}(p) + 5\text{Scal}(p)^2 - 2|\text{Ric}(p)|^2 + 2|R(p)|^2), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |R(p)|^2 &:= R_{ijkl}(p) R_{ijkl}(p) g^{ii}(p) g^{jj}(p) g^{kk}(p) g^{ll}(p), \\ |\text{Ric}(p)|^2 &:= \text{Ric}_{ij}(p) \text{Ric}_{ij}(p) g^{ii}(p) g^{jj}(p), \end{aligned}$$

$R_{ijkl}(p)$ is Riemann curvature tensor, $\text{Ric}_{ij}(p)$ is Ricci tensor, $\text{Scal}(p)$ is scalar curvature.

The heat operator is closely related to the resolvent operator by the Cauchy's differentiation formula. For closed path on a complex plane γ passed counter-clockwise and surrounding the spectrum of Δ , and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$e^{-t\Delta} = -t^{1-m} \frac{(m-1)!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{-t\mu} (\Delta - \mu)^{-m} d\mu. \quad (3.2)$$

To go back and forth from the expansion of the heat trace to the expansion of the resolvent trace we will use the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} e^{-t\mu} (-\mu)^{-n} d\mu &= 2\pi i \text{Res}_{\mu=0} (e^{-t\mu} (-\mu)^{-n}) \\ &= \frac{2\pi i}{(n-1)!} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{d\mu} \right)^{n-1} (-1)^n e^{-t\mu} = -\frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} e^{-t\mu} (-\mu)^{-n} \log(-\mu) d\mu &= -\frac{d}{dn} \int_{\gamma} e^{-t\mu} (-\mu)^{-n} d\mu = \frac{d}{dn} \left(\frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} \right) \\ &= 2\pi i \frac{t^{n-1} \log t \Gamma(n) - t^{n-1} \Gamma(n)'}{\Gamma(n)^2} \\ &= \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1} \log t - \frac{2\pi i}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{\Gamma'(n)}{\Gamma(n)} t^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

On a Riemannian manifold by the Proposition 3.1 we find local asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel on the diagonal. Then using the Cauchy's differentiation formula (3.2) and computations (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain the expansion of the kernel of the resolvent on a diagonal for some $p \in K \subset M$. Denote $z^2 := -\mu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\Delta + z^2)^{-m}(p) - (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=m-\frac{\dim M}{2}}^k z^{-2j} u_{j+\frac{\dim M}{2}-m}(p) \frac{\Gamma(j)}{(m-1)!}\| \\ &\leq \tilde{C}(K) z^{-2j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience denote $l := j + \frac{\dim M}{2} - m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\Delta + z^2)^{-m}(p) - (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^k z^{-2m+\dim M-2l} u_l(p) \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\dim M}{2} + m + l)}{(m-1)!}\| \\ &\leq \tilde{C}(K) z^{-2m+\dim M-2l-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

here $u_0(p) = 1$ and $u_1(p) = \frac{\text{Scal}(p)}{6}$.

3.2 Curvature tensor in polar coordinates

Let $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ be local coordinates on N and let $p = (r, x^1, \dots, x^n) \in M$. Denote by $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ the components of the metric tensor $g = dr^2 + r^2 g_N$, and by g_{ij} for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the components of the metric tensor g_N on N . Then

$$\tilde{g}_{00} = 1, \quad \tilde{g}_{i0} = \tilde{g}_{0i} = 0, \text{ for } i > 0,$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = r^2 g_{ij}, \text{ for } i, j > 0.$$

We use usual notations for tensors corresponding to the metric g_{ij} and notations with tilde for tensors corresponding to the metric $\tilde{g}_{ij}$. Sometimes we stress, that the tensor depends on a point by writing $\tilde{g}_{ij}(p) = \tilde{g}_{ij}(r, x)$, other times we omit this to simplify the notations. Remember that from now on if a tensor has tilde above it, then it lives on a tangent space to M and depends on a point $p \in M$, if there is no tilde, then it lives on a tangent space to N and depends on a point $x \in N$. Denote the derivative of $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ with respect to the k -th coordinate by $\tilde{g}_{ij,k}$, then if i or j or both are equal to zero then $\tilde{g}_{ij,k} = 0$ for any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose $i, j \neq 0$ then

$$\tilde{g}_{ij,k}(r, x) = \begin{cases} 2r g_{ij}(x), & \text{if } k = 0 \\ r^2 g_{ij,k}(x), & \text{if } k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The Christoffel symbols are

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{im} (\tilde{g}_{mj,k} + \tilde{g}_{mk,j} - \tilde{g}_{jk,m}),$$

now we express them in terms of Γ_{jk}^i and g_{ij} .

Let $i = 0$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j = 0 \text{ or } k = 0 \\ -r g_{jk}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume $i \neq 0$ and let $j = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_{0k}^i &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{k0}^i = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{im} (\tilde{g}_{m0,k} + \tilde{g}_{mk,0} - \tilde{g}_{0k,m}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} g^{im} (\tilde{g}_{mk,0}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} g^{im} (2r g_{mk}) \\ &= r^{-1} \delta_k^i. \end{aligned}$$

If both $j = k = 0$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}_{00}^i = 0$. Assume that non of i, j, k is equal to zero, then

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i.$$

The scalar curvature can be expressed in terms of Christoffel symbols in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} S\tilde{cal} &= \tilde{g}^{ij} \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij,m}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im,j}^m + \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{ml}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^m \right) \\ &= \tilde{g}^{0j} \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{0j,m}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{0m,j}^m + \tilde{\Gamma}_{0j}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{ml}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{0m}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^m \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq 0} \tilde{g}^{ij} \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij,m}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im,j}^m + \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{ml}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^m \right) \\ &=: S\tilde{cal}_0 + S\tilde{cal}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{g}^{0j}$ is equal to zero for any j except $j = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S\tilde{cal}_0 &= \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{00,m}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{0m,0}^m + \tilde{\Gamma}_{00}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{ml}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{0m}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{0l}^m \right) \\ &= -\partial_m(r^{-1}\delta_m^m) - r^{-1}\delta_m^l r^{-1}\delta_l^m = r^{-2}n - r^{-2}n^2 = -r^{-2}n(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S\tilde{cal}_1 &= \sum_{i \neq 0} \tilde{g}^{ij} \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij,m}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im,j}^m + \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{ml}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^m \right) \\ &= r^{-2}g^{ij} \left(-g_{ij} + \sum_{m \neq 0} [\tilde{\Gamma}_{ij,m}^m - \tilde{\Gamma}_{im,j}^m] - g_{ij}\delta_m^m + \sum_{l \neq 0} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{ml}^m + g_{im}\delta_j^m \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{l \neq 0} \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^l \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^m + \delta_i^l g_{jl} \right) \\ &= r^{-2}g^{ij} (-g_{ij} + [\Gamma_{ij,m}^m - \Gamma_{im,j}^m] - g_{ij}n + \Gamma_{ij}^l \Gamma_{ml}^m + g_{ij}n - \Gamma_{im}^l \Gamma_{jl}^m + g_{ij}) \\ &= r^{-2}g^{ij} (\Gamma_{ij,m}^m - \Gamma_{im,j}^m + \Gamma_{ij}^l \Gamma_{ml}^m - \Gamma_{im}^l \Gamma_{jl}^m) = r^{-2}Scal, \end{aligned}$$

where $Scal$ is scalar curvature at x on N .

Therefore scalar curvature in polar coordinates $p = (r, x^1, \dots, x^n)$ is

$$S\tilde{cal}(p) = r^{-2}(Scal(x) - n(n-1)), \quad (3.6)$$

here $p = (r, x) \in M$ and $x \in N$ and $\tilde{R}(p)$ is scalar curvature on M and $R(x)$ is scalar curvature on N .

Recall that Riemann curvature tensor and Ricci tensor can be written using Christoffel symbols

$$R_{jkl}^i = \partial_k \Gamma_{jl}^i + \Gamma_{kp}^i \Gamma_{jl}^p - \partial_l \Gamma_{jk}^i - \Gamma_{lp}^i \Gamma_{jk}^p$$

and

$$Ric_{ij} = \partial_m \Gamma_{ij}^m + \Gamma_{mp}^m \Gamma_{ij}^p - \partial_j \Gamma_{mi}^m - \Gamma_{jp}^m \Gamma_{im}^p.$$

Now express Riemann tensor $\tilde{R}_{jkl}^i$ in terms of R_{jkl}^i .

Let $i = 0$ and i, j, k be nonzero, then

$$\tilde{R}_{jkl}^0 = 0,$$

also

$$\tilde{R}_{0kl}^i = \tilde{R}_{j0l}^i = \tilde{R}_{j00}^i = \tilde{R}_{000}^i = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_{00l}^i = \tilde{R}_{0l0}^i = -r^{-2} \delta_l^i.$$

Let i, j, k, l be nonzero then

$$\tilde{R}_{ijkl}(p) = r^{-2} (R_{ijkl}(x) - g_{ip}(x) g_{jm}(x) (\delta_k^p \delta_l^m - \delta_l^p \delta_k^m)). \quad (3.7)$$

Similarly tensor Ricci

$$\tilde{Ric}_{ij}(p) = r^{-2} (Ric_{ij}(x) - (n-1) g_{ij}(x)). \quad (3.8)$$

3.3 Expansion of the trace of the resolvent

In this section we show that Laplace-Beltrami operator on the neighbourhood U of the singularity is a second order regular singular operator. Define a linear map

$$\Psi : C_c^\infty((0, \varepsilon), C^\infty(N)) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(U),$$

which acts as multiplication by a function depending on r , $\Psi := r^{-n/2}$. It extends to unitary map between Hilbert spaces

$$\Psi : L^2((0, \varepsilon), C^\infty(N)) \rightarrow L^2(U).$$

Given the metric we can write operator $\Delta := d^\dagger d : C_c^\infty(U) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(U)$ in terms of partial derivatives with respect to local coordinates. We have $d : C_c^\infty(U) \rightarrow \lambda_c^1(U)$ and $d^\dagger = - * d * : \lambda_c^1(U) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(U)$, where $*$ is Hodge-star operator on U .

Let $p = (r, x^1, \dots, x^n) \in U$ and $f(r, x^1, \dots, x^n) \in C_c^\infty(U)$ and denote $g := \det g_N = \det(g_{ij})$ and $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$. Some computations that will be used later are given in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $A \in C_c^\infty(U)$ be a function on U , which depends on $r, x^1, \dots, x^n$, then*

- a) $*(Adr) = Ar^n g^{1/2} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$;
- b) $*(Adx^i) = A(-1)^i r^{n-2} g^{ii} g^{1/2}$;
- c) $*(Adr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n) = Ar^{-n} g^{-1/2}$.

Proof. Let $X \in C_c^\infty(U)$ be a function on U , which depends on $r, x^1, \dots, x^n$.

a) Let X be such that $*(Adr) = X dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$, then by the definition of Hodge star operator, for any one-form αdr we have

$$\alpha dr \wedge X dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = \alpha Ar^n g^{1/2} dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n,$$

hence $X = Ar^n g^{1/2}$.

b) Let X be such that $*(Adx^i) = X dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}^i \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$, than for any one-form αdx^i

$$\alpha dx^i \wedge X dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}^i \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = \alpha Ar^{-2} g^{ii} r^n g^{1/2} dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n,$$

hence

$$(-1)^i \alpha X = \alpha A g^{ii} r^{n-2} g^{1/2}.$$

Consequently, $X = (-1)^i A g^{ii} r^{n-2} g^{1/2}$.

c) Let X be such that $*(Adr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n) = X$, then for any $n+1$ -form $\alpha dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$

$$\alpha dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n X = \alpha Ar^{-2n} g^{-1} r^n g^{1/2} dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n.$$

Hence $X = Ar^{-n} g^{-1/2}$. □

Let $f(r, x^1, \dots, x^n)$ be a smooth function with compact support in U and apply the operator $\Delta = - * d * d$ to it. By the Proposition 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f(r, x^1, \dots, x^n) &\stackrel{d}{\mapsto} \partial_r f dr + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x^i} f dx^i \\
&\stackrel{*}{\mapsto} r^n g^{1/2} \partial_r f dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i r^{n-2} g^{ii} g^{1/2} \partial_{x^i} f dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}^i \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
&\stackrel{d}{\mapsto} dr \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \left(r^n g^{1/2} \partial_r^2 f + nr^{n-1} g^{1/2} \partial_r f \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(r^{n-2} g^{ii} g^{1/2} \partial_{x^i}^2 f + r^{n-2} g^{1/2} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g^{ii} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} r^{n-2} g^{-1/2} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g \right) \right) \\
&\stackrel{*}{\mapsto} \partial_r^2 f + nr^{-1} \partial_r f \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(r^{-2} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i}^2 f + r^{-2} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g^{ii} + \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} g^{-1} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g \right) \\
&\stackrel{\bar{\mapsto}}{\mapsto} -\partial_r^2 f - nr^{-1} \partial_r f \\
&\quad - r^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(g^{ii} \partial_{x^i}^2 f + \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g^{ii} + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now consider Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_N on N

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_N f &= - * d * df = - * d * \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x^i} f dx^i \\
&= - * d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i g^{1/2} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i} f dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{dx}^i \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \right) \\
&= - * \left(g^{1/2} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i}^2 f + g^{1/2} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g^{ii} + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1/2} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
&= - \left(g^{ii} \partial_{x^i}^2 f + \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g^{ii} + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} g^{ii} \partial_{x^i} f \partial_{x^i} g \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.9) and (3.10)

$$\Delta = -\partial_r^2 - nr^{-1} \partial_r + r^{-2} \Delta_N.$$

The operator Δ acts on $C_c^\infty(U)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2((0, \varepsilon) \times N)$.

Apply the unitary transform Ψ from above and define the operator acting on $C_c^\infty((0, \varepsilon), C^\infty(N))$ in the Hilbert space $L^2((0, \varepsilon), L^2(N))$

$$T := \Psi^{-1} \Delta \Psi.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tf &= r^{n/2} \Delta r^{-n/2} f \\ &= r^{n/2} (-\partial_r^2 - nr^{-1} \partial_r + r^{-2} \Delta_N) r^{-n/2} f \\ &= -r^{n/2} \partial_r \left(r^{-n/2} \partial_r f - \frac{n}{2} r^{-n/2-1} f \right) - nr^{n/2-1} \left(r^{-n/2} \partial_r f - \frac{n}{2} r^{-n/2-1} f \right) \\ &\quad + r^{-2} \Delta_N f \\ &= -\partial_r^2 f - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) r^{-2} f + r^{-2} \frac{n^2}{2} f + r^{-2} \Delta_N f \\ &= -\partial_r^2 f + r^{-2} \left(\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) f + \Delta_N f \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$T = -\partial_r^2 + r^{-2} \left(\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \Delta_N \right).$$

Note that since

$$\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \Delta_N = \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \Delta_N - \frac{1}{4} \geq -\frac{1}{4},$$

the operator T is bounded below. Also it is symmetric. Let T^F be the Friedrichs extension of T , below we deal only with this extension so to simplify the notation denote it T . It is a self-adjoint operator acting in the Hilbert space

$$L^2((0, \varepsilon), L^2(N)).$$

Now we will consider resolvent of operator T . Since the manifold N is compact, the Laplace-Beltrami operator on N has discrete spectrum. By the Proposition 2.3 there is a basis of the Hilbert space $L^2(N)$ that consists of the corresponding eigenfunctions. Let $\lambda \in \text{Spec} \left(\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \Delta_N \right)$, then

$$(T + z^2)^{-1} = \otimes_\lambda (-\partial_r^2 + r^{-2} \lambda + z^2)^{-1} \otimes_\lambda \pi_\lambda,$$

where π_λ is the projection on the λ -eigenspace of $\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \Delta_N$.

The proof of the next lemma follows Lemma 4.1 in [BS2], but here we do not use the fact that eigenvalue can be written as $\lambda = s^2 \pm s$, where s are eigenvalues of some self-adjoint operator. So there are no different cases for $s > 1/2$ and $0 < s < 1/2$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\nu = \sqrt{\lambda + 1/4}$, $\text{Im } z^2 \neq 0$ and $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 < \infty$, then the resolvent $(-\partial_r^2 + r^{-2}\lambda + z^2)^{-1}$ is an integral operator with kernel given by*

$$(-\partial_r^2 + r^{-2}\lambda + z^2)^{-1}(r_1, r_2) = (r_1 r_2)^{1/2} I_\nu(r_1 z) K_\nu(r_2 z),$$

where $I_\nu(r_1 z)$ and $K_\nu(r_2 z)$ are modified Bessel functions, two linearly independent solutions of the modified Bessel equation.

Proof. Let $v_1(r, z)$ and $v_2(r, z)$ be solutions of

$$(-\partial_r^2 + r^{-2}\lambda + z^2)u(r) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

then by the Theorem XIII.3.16 in [DS] the resolvent is an integral operator and the kernel of the resolvent is

$$(-\partial_r^2 + r^{-2}\lambda + z^2)^{-1}(r_1, r_2) = (v_1' v_2 - v_1 v_2')^{-1}(r_1, z) v_1(r_1, z) v_2(r_2, z), \quad (3.12)$$

for $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$.

We now find v_1 and v_2 by solving the equation (3.11). Put

$$u(r) =: r^{1/2} w(r),$$

then

$$\partial_r u(r) = \frac{1}{2} r^{-1/2} w(r) + r^{1/2} \partial_r w(r)$$

and

$$\partial_r^2 u(r) = -\frac{1}{4} r^{-3/2} w(r) + r^{-1/2} \partial_r w(r) + r^{1/2} \partial_r^2 w(r).$$

Hence (3.11) deforms to give the modified Bessel equation

$$\left(r^2 \partial_r^2 + r \partial_r - (r^2 z^2 + \lambda + \frac{1}{4}) \right) w(r) = 0.$$

We have $\nu = \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{4}}$, then the general solution is generated by the modified Bessel functions

$$w(r) = C_1 I_\nu(rz) + C_2 K_\nu(rz),$$

where $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Substitute $w(r) = r^{-1/2} u(r)$ to obtain

$$u(r) = C_1 r^{1/2} I_\nu(rz) + C_2 r^{1/2} K_\nu(rz).$$

Note that modified Bessel function of the first kind $I_\nu(r)$ grows exponentially as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\nu > 0$, and tends to zero as $r \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand modified Bessel function of the second kind $K_\nu(r)$ tends to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

and grows as $r \rightarrow 0$. Using the boundary condition at $r = 0$ and the boundary condition at infinity $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = 0$, we derive two linearly independent solutions of (3.11)

$$v_1(r) = r^{1/2} I_\nu(rz)$$

and

$$v_2(r) = r^{1/2} K_\nu(rz).$$

To find the kernel of the resolvent we use the formula (3.12). Since $I'_\nu(x)K_\nu(x) - I_\nu(x)K'_\nu(x) = x^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (v'_1 v_2 - v_1 v'_2)^{-1}(r_1, z) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} r_1^{-1/2} I'_\nu(r_1 z) + z r_1^{1/2} I'_\nu(r_1 z) \right) r^{1/2} K_\nu(r_1 z) \\ & \quad - r_1^{1/2} I_\nu(r_1 z) \left(\frac{1}{2} r_1^{-1/2} K'_\nu(r_1 z) + z r_1^{1/2} K'_\nu(r_1 z) \right) \\ &= r_1 z (I'_\nu(r_1 z) K_\nu(r_1 z) - I_\nu(r_1 z) K'_\nu(r_1 z)) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By (3.12)

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\partial_r^2 + r^{-2} \lambda + z^2)^{-1}(r_1, r_2) \\ &= (r_1 r_2)^{1/2} I_\nu(r_1 z) K_\nu(r_2 z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

□

Since M is not a compact manifold, T^{-1} may be not trace class. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(M)$, then

$$\varphi_1 T^{-1} \varphi_2 \in S_p(L^2((0, \varepsilon), L^2(N))),$$

where $S_p(H)$ is Schatten class of operators. It follows from the resolvent equation and Hölder inequality for Schatten norms, that for $m > p$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(M)$

$$\varphi(T + z^2)^{-m} \in S_1(L^2(M)),$$

with uniform trace norm estimate in

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg z| < \delta\}, \quad 0 < \delta < \pi/2.$$

It has been shown (see [BS] pp. 400-409) that for any function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the operator $\varphi(T + z^2)^{-m}$ is trace class for $m > \dim M/2$.

Let φ be a smooth function on a manifold M with support near the singularity, such that it depends only on the radial coordinate r . For each

fixed $r \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $p = (r, x^1, \dots, x^n) \in M$ by (3.5) we have an expansion of the heat kernel along the diagonal

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r)(T + z^2)^{-m}(p) &\sim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \\ (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \varphi(r) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^{-2m + \dim M - 2j} u_j(p) &\frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\dim M}{2} + m + j)}{(m-1)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\varphi(r)$ be a smooth function with compact support near $r = 0$ and $\varphi(r) \equiv 1$ in the small neighbourhood of $r = 0$. Let $m > \dim M/2$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\arg(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{tr}(\varphi(T + z^2)^{-m}) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \text{tr}_{L^2(N)}(\varphi(T + z^2)^{-m}) dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(r) \frac{r^{2m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(-\frac{1}{2rz} \frac{\partial}{\partial(rz)} \right)^{m-1} \sum_{\nu} I_{\nu}(rz) K_{\nu}(rz) dr \\ &=: \int_0^\infty \varphi(r) \sigma(r, rz) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we sum over all $\nu = \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{4}}$, such that $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} - 1) + \Delta_N)$.

Proof. The first equality follows from the Trace Lemma 2.4. The second is the consequence of the Proposition 3.3 and the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (T + z^2)^{-m} &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left(-\frac{1}{2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^{m-1} (T + z^2)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{r^{2m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(-\frac{1}{2rz} \frac{\partial}{\partial(rz)} \right)^{m-1} \sum_{\nu} I_{\nu}(rz) K_{\nu}(rz). \end{aligned}$$

□

In the Proposition 3.4 we defined the following function

$$\sigma(r, \zeta) = \text{tr}_{L^2(N)}(T + \zeta^2/r^2)^{-m} \sim_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta^{-2m + \dim M - 2j} \sigma_j(r), \quad (3.16)$$

where by (3.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_j(r) &= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} r^{2m-\dim M+2j} \int_N r^{\dim M-1} u_j(p) d\text{vol}_N \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\dim M}{2} + m + j)}{(m-1)!} \\
&= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} r^{2m-1+2j} \int_N u_j(p) d\text{vol}_N \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\dim M}{2} + m + j)}{(m-1)!}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

In particular

$$\sigma_0(r) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} r^{2m-1} \frac{\Gamma(m - \frac{\dim M}{2})}{(m-1)!} \text{vol}(N)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1(r) &= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(m - \frac{\dim M}{2} + 1)}{(m-1)!} r^{2m-1+2} \int_N u_1(p) d\text{vol}_N \\
&= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(m - \frac{\dim M}{2} + 1)}{(m-1)!} r^{2m+1} \int_N \frac{\tilde{Scal}(p)}{6} d\text{vol}_N \\
&= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(m - \frac{\dim M}{2} + 1)}{6(m-1)!} r^{2m+1} r^{-2} \int_N (Scal(x) - n(n-1)) d\text{vol}_N \\
&= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(m - \frac{\dim M}{2} + 1)}{6(m-1)!} r^{2m-1} \int_N (Scal(x) - n(n-1)) d\text{vol}_N,
\end{aligned}$$

where as before $\tilde{Scal}(p)$ is the scalar curvature of M at a point $p \in M$ and $Scal(x)$ is the scalar curvature of N at a point $x \in N$.

Now we show that the function $\sigma(r, \zeta)$ satisfies the three conditions of the Singular Asymptotics Lemma 2.6. First we observe, that $\sigma(r, \zeta)$ is C^∞ with respect to r . Also $\sigma(r, \zeta)$ has analytic derivatives with respect to ζ , because Bessel functions have analytic derivatives. The second condition of the Lemma 2.6 is satisfied due to the expansion (3.16). The following lemma gives the proof of the third property.

Lemma 3.5. (*Integrability condition*) For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < |\arg \zeta| < \delta < \pi/2$ with $|\zeta| = c_0$ there is a constant $c(c_0, j)$, such that the following is satisfied uniformly for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^j \left| \frac{\partial^j \sigma}{\partial r^j}(\theta st, s\zeta) \right| ds dt \leq c(c_0, j).$$

Proof. Recall

$$\sigma(r, \zeta) = \frac{r^{2m-1}}{(m-1)!} \left(-\frac{1}{2\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right)^{m-1} \sum_{\nu} I_{\nu}(\zeta) K_{\nu}(\zeta).$$

For this function we have the following scaling property

$$\sigma(st, s\zeta) = t^{2m-1} \sigma(s, \zeta),$$

by the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial(st)} \sigma(st, s\zeta) = \frac{\partial t}{\partial(st)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(st, s\zeta) = s^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(st, s\zeta),$$

therefore

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial(st)^j} \sigma(st, s\zeta) = s^{-j} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} \sigma(st, s\zeta).$$

Denote $\partial^j \sigma(r, \zeta) := \frac{\partial^j}{\partial r^j} \sigma(r, \zeta)$, then

$$s^j \partial^j \sigma(st, s\zeta) = \partial_t^j \sigma(st, s\zeta) = \partial_t^j (t^{2m-1} \sigma(s, \zeta)).$$

Now choose a function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in the interval $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 s^j |\sigma^{(j)}(st, s\zeta)| ds &= \int_0^1 |\partial_t^j (t^{2m-1} \sigma(s, \zeta))| ds \\ &\leq \|\partial_t^j (t^{2m-1} \varphi(T + z^2)^{-m})\|_{tr} \leq C_0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Trace Lemma 2.4. $\square$

Now we can apply the Singular Asymptotics Lemma 2.6 to get

Proposition 3.6.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \varphi(r) \sigma(r, \zeta) &\sim \sum_{l=0}^\infty z^{-l-1} \frac{1}{l!} \int_0^\infty \zeta^l \partial_r^l \left(\sigma(r, \zeta) \varphi(r) \right) \Big|_{r=0} d\zeta \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \sigma_j(r) (rz)^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \varphi(r) dr \\ &+ \sum_{l=\frac{\dim M}{2}-m+1}^\infty z^{-2m+\dim M-2l} \log z \frac{\partial_r^{2m-\dim M+2l-1} \left(\sigma_l(r) \varphi(r) \right) \Big|_{r=0}}{(2m-\dim M+2l-1)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\varphi(r) \equiv 1$ near $r = 0$, all derivatives of it vanish at zero. Therefore the first sum simplifies, then we can use the Proposition 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{-l-1} \frac{1}{l!} \oint_0^{\infty} \zeta^l \partial_r^l \sigma(r, \zeta)|_{r=0} d\zeta \\ &= z^{-2m} \oint_0^{\infty} \zeta^{2m-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2rz} \frac{\partial}{\partial(rz)} \right)^{m-1} \sum_{\nu} I_{\nu}(rz) K_{\nu}(rz) d\zeta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Note that this term in the resolvent trace expansion gives contribution to the constant term in the heat trace expansion. We simplify the terms in the expansion in the next section.

3.4 The heat trace expansion

Let us analyse the first summand in Proposition 3.6 given by (3.19). Denote by $p := 2m - 1$, then by the Mellin transform (see p.123 [O]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \zeta^p \left(-\frac{1}{2\zeta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta} \right)^{m-1} I_{\nu}(\zeta) K_{\nu}(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\nu - m + \frac{p+3}{2}) \Gamma(m - 1 - \frac{p}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\Gamma(m + 1 + \nu - \frac{p+3}{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

substitute $p = l + 2m - 2$, where $l = 1$

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(-\frac{l}{2}) \Gamma(m + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu - \frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

The ratio of two Gamma functions is given in the proposition below. Let B_j be Bernoulli numbers

$$B_0 = 1, B_j = - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} C_j^i \frac{B_i}{j - i + 1},$$

i.e. $B_1 = -1/2$, $B_2 = 1/6$, $B_4 = -1/30$, $B_6 = 1/42$, e.t.c. and $B_{2j+1} = 0$ for $j \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.7.

$$\frac{\Gamma(\nu - s + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu + s)} \sim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \nu^{1-2s} \left(1 + s \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \nu^{-2j} \right) + O(s^2)$$

Proof. According to [WW] Chapter XII p.251 (note that in the book an old notation of Bernoulli numbers is used B_j^{old} , such that $B_1^{old} = 1/6$, $B_2^{old} = 1/30$, $B_3^{old} = 1/42$ e.t.c; and here we use a modern notation $B_j^{new} := B_j$, they satisfy the relation $B_j^{old} = (-1)^{j-1} B_{2j}^{new}$ and $B_{2j+1}^{new} = 0$ for $j \geq 1$; that is why the formula is slightly different from the one in the book)

$$\log \Gamma(z) \sim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (z - \frac{1}{2}) \log z - z + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{2j(2j-1)} \frac{B_{2j}}{z^{2j-1}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Gamma(\nu + s) &\sim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (\nu + s - \frac{1}{2}) \log(\nu + s) - (\nu + s) + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{2j(2j-1)} \frac{B_{2j}}{(\nu + s)^{2j-1}} \\ &= (\nu + s - \frac{1}{2})(\log \nu + \log(1 + s/\nu)) - (\nu + s) + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{2j(2j-1)} \frac{B_{2j}}{(\nu + s)^{2j-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we use $\log(\nu + s) = \log \nu + \log(1 + s/\nu)$. Analogously, using $\log(\nu - s) = \log \nu + \log(1 - s/\nu)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Gamma(\nu - s) &\sim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (\nu - s - \frac{1}{2})(\log \nu + \log(1 - s/\nu)) - (\nu - s) + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{2j(2j-1)} \frac{B_{2j}}{(\nu - s)^{2j-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{\Gamma(\nu - s)}{\Gamma(\nu + s)} &= \log \Gamma(\nu - s) - \log \Gamma(\nu + s) \sim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \\ &\quad - 2s \log \nu + (\nu - s - \frac{1}{2}) \log(1 - \frac{s}{\nu}) - (\nu + s - \frac{1}{2}) \log(1 + \frac{s}{\nu}) + 2s \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{2j(2j-1)} B_{2j} \left(\frac{1}{(\nu - s)^{2j-1}} - \frac{1}{(\nu + s)^{2j-1}} \right) \\ &\sim - 2s \log \nu + (\nu - s - \frac{1}{2}) \left(-\frac{s}{\nu} \right) - (\nu + s - \frac{1}{2}) \left(\frac{s}{\nu} \right) + 2s \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{2j(2j-1)} B_{2j} \left(\frac{(\nu + s)^{2j-1} - (\nu - s)^{2j-1}}{(\nu^2 - s^2)^{2j-1}} \right) + O(s^2) \\ &= - 2s \log \nu + \frac{s}{\nu} + s \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \nu^{-2j} + O(s^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(\nu - s + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu + s)} &= (\nu - s) \frac{\Gamma(\nu - s)}{\Gamma(\nu + s)} \\
&\sim (\nu - s) \nu^{-2s} \left(1 + \frac{s}{\nu} + s \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \nu^{-2j} \right) + O(s^2) \\
&\sim \nu^{1-2s} \left(1 + s \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \nu^{-2j} \right) + O(s^2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using the Proposition 3.7 we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
b &:= \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{l}{2})\Gamma(m + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{1}{2})}{4\sqrt{\pi}(m-1)!} \frac{\Gamma(\sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2})} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{l}{2})\Gamma(m + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{1}{2})}{4\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(m)} \times \\
&\times \sum_{\lambda} \left(\left(\sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{4}} \right)^l - \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \left(\frac{l}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{4}} \right)^{-2j+l} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we sum over $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta_N + \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} - 1))$. To find the regular analytic continuation at $l = 1$ of the function above we set some notations. Denote $s := -l$. Let f be a meromorphic function with Laurent series expansion at some point z_0

$$f(z) = \sum \text{Res}_n f(z_0) (z - z_0)^{-n},$$

where $\text{Res}_n f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) dz}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}}$, with γ a counterclockwise path of integration enclosing z_0 and lying in an annulus in which $f(z)$ is holomorphic. By these notations Res_1 is the residue of the function and Res_0 is the regular analytic continuation. Let f be analytic at z_0 and assume g has a simple pole at z_0 , then

$$\text{Res}_0(fg)(z_0) = f(z_0)\text{Res}_0 g(z_0) + f'(z_0)\text{Res}_1 g(z_0).$$

Set

$$f(s) := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\Gamma(m - \frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2})}{4\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(m)}$$

and

$$\zeta_M(s) := \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta_N + \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-1))} \left(\sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{4}} \right)^{-s}, \quad g(s) := - \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \zeta_M(2j+s).$$

Since $f(-1) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}) = -2\sqrt{\pi}$ and $f'(-1) = \frac{\Gamma'(-\frac{1}{2})}{8\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{\Gamma'(m)}{4\Gamma(m)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \text{Res}_0 \left(f(s) \zeta_M(s) + f(s) \left(-\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) g(s) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Res}_0 \zeta_M(-1) + \left(\frac{\Gamma'(-\frac{1}{2})}{8\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{\Gamma'(m)}{4\Gamma(m)} \right) \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(-1) + \frac{1}{4} \text{Res}_1 g(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} b &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Res}_0 \zeta_M(-1) + \left(\frac{\Gamma'(-\frac{1}{2})}{8\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{\Gamma'(m)}{4\Gamma(m)} \right) \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(-1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(2j-1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Now consider the second sum in the expansion (3.18). By the Proposition 3.4

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \sigma_j(r) (rz)^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \varphi(r) dr \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} r^{2m-1} \tilde{\sigma}_j(r) (rz)^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \varphi(r) dr \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} r^{\dim M-1-2j} \tilde{\sigma}_j(r) z^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \varphi(r) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_j(r) = r^{-2m+1} \sigma_j(r)$ are smooth functions. Note that the integrals in the above sum need no regularisation if $\dim M - 1 - 2j \geq 0$, i.e. if $j \leq \dim M/2 - 1$.

Further by (3.17)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \sigma_j(r) (rz)^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \varphi(r) dr \\ &= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\dim M}{2} + m + j)}{(m-1)!} z^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} r^{\dim M-1} \varphi(r) \int_N u_i(p) d\text{vol}_N dr, \end{aligned}$$

it gives the following term in the heat trace expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
& (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}+j} \int_0^{\infty} r^{\dim M-1} \varphi(r) \int_N u_i(p) d\text{vol}_N dr \\
&= (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}+j} \int_M u_j(p) \varphi(r) d\text{vol}_M,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where terms with $j \leq \dim M/2 - 1$ need no regularisation.

Sum this up with the expansion away from the singularity to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}+j} \left(\int_M u_j(p) \varphi(r) d\text{vol}_M + \int_M u_j(p) (1 - \varphi(r)) d\text{vol}_M \right) \\
&=: \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}+j} \int_M u_j(p) d\text{vol}_M.
\end{aligned}$$

Now simplify the logarithmic terms in Proposition 3.6

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=\frac{\dim M}{2}-m+1}^{\infty} z^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \log z \frac{\partial_r^{2m-\dim M+2j-1} \left(\sigma_j(r) \varphi(r) \right) |_{r=0}}{(2m - \dim M + 2j - 1)!} \\
&= \sum_{j=\frac{\dim M}{2}-m+1}^{\infty} z^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \log z \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\dim M}{2} + m + j)}{(m-1)!(2m - \dim M + 2j - 1)!} \\
& \quad (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \partial_r^{2m-\dim M+2j-1} \left(r^{2m-1+2j} \int_N u_j(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) |_{r=0} \\
&= \sum_{j=\frac{\dim M}{2}}^{\infty} z^{-2m+\dim M-2j} \log z \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\dim M}{2} + m + j)}{(m-1)!(2j - \dim M)!} \\
& \quad (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \partial_r^{2j-\dim M} \left(r^{2j} \int_N u_j(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) |_{r=0} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{-2m-2l} \log z \frac{\Gamma(m+l)}{(m-1)!(2l)!} (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \partial_r^{2l} \left(r^{\dim M+2l} \int_N u_{\frac{\dim M}{2}+l}(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) |_{r=0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the logarithmic part in the heat trace expansion coming from this term is

$$-(4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \log t \times \frac{1}{2(2i)!} \partial_r^{2i} \left(r^{\dim M+2i} \int_N u_{\frac{\dim M}{2}+i}(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) |_{r=0}.$$

For $i > 0$ the function $r^{\dim M + 2i} \int_N u_{\frac{\dim M}{2} + i}(p) d\text{vol}_N$ is smooth and has no terms of order r^{2i} , hence the only nonzero logarithmic term may appear for $i = 0$

$$c := -(4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \left(r^{\dim M} \int_N u_{\frac{\dim M}{2}}(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) \Big|_{r=0} \log t. \quad (3.22)$$

From the logarithmic term in the resolvent trace expansion we also get contribution to constant term in the heat trace expansion

$$b_2 = (4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(m)}{\Gamma(m)} \left(r^{\dim M} \int_N u_{\frac{\dim M}{2}}(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) \Big|_{r=0} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\Gamma'(m)}{\Gamma(m)} \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(-1).$$

Denote $b := b_1 + b_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} b = & -\frac{1}{2} \text{Res}_0 \zeta_M(-1) + \frac{\Gamma'(-\frac{1}{2})}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(-1) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(2j-1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

4 Assembling the proofs of the theorems and examples

We are now ready to prove the Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) By (3.21) and (3.22) and (3.23) we obtain the final formula

$$\text{tr } e^{-t\Delta_0} \sim_{t \rightarrow 0+} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j + b + c \log t, \quad (4.1)$$

where for $j \leq \dim M/2 - 1$ we have $a_j = \int_M u_j(p) d\text{vol}_M$ and for $j > \dim M/2 - 1$ numbers a_j are regularized integrals $a_j = \oint_M u_j(p) d\text{vol}_M$.

(2) b is the constant term coming from the singularity

$$\begin{aligned} b = & -\frac{1}{2} \text{Res}_0 \zeta_M(-1) + \frac{\Gamma'(-\frac{1}{2})}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(-1) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim M/2} j^{-1} B_{2j} \text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(2j-1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec } \Delta_N} (\sqrt{\lambda + \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} - 1) + 1/4})^{-s}$ and constants B_j are Bernoulli numbers, and $\text{Res}_0 f(s_0)$, $\text{Res}_1 f(s_0)$ is the regular analytic continuation of function $f(s)$ at $s = s_0$, the residue of function $f(s)$ at $s = s_0$ correspondingly.

We compute explicitly in the examples below, that for $N = S^3$ term $b = 0$ (see Section 4.3) and for $N = \mathbb{R}P^3 = S^2/\mathbb{Z}$ term $b = 1/32 \neq 0$ (see Section 4.4). S^3 is covering of $\mathbb{R}P^3$. If b could be written as an integral of local data, then it would satisfy the multiplicative law for coverings. That is not true, hence b cannot be written in terms of local data.

(3) By (3.22) we get

$$c = -\frac{1}{2}(4\pi)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \left(\int_N r^{\dim M} u_{\frac{\dim M}{2}}(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) \Big|_{r=0}.$$

(4) Assume that manifold M is smooth. With the chosen conic metric $g = dr^2 + r^2 g_N$ on U the only possibility for singularity to vanish is that U is isometric to a disk D^4 with spherical coordinates. That happens when the cross section near the singularity is isometric to a unit sphere $N = S^3$. Consequently, in (3.21) all $u_j(p)$ vanish for $p \in U$ and, for all $j \geq 0$, $a_j = \int_{M \setminus U} u_j(p) d\text{vol}_M$ and do not need any regularization. Moreover since $u_{\frac{\dim M}{2}}(p) = 0$ the logarithmic term $c = 0$. Eigenvalues for S^n are known, so we compute $b = 0$. $\square$

4.1 Compact surface with conic singularity

The constant term in case $\dim M = 2$ has been known for a while, see for example pages 423-424 in [BS]. We perform calculations here for completeness. Consider a compact surface M with one conic singularity, the only possible cross section is a circle $N = S^1$ of some radius $R > 0$. Let $\tilde{S}cal(p)$ be the scalar curvature at a point $p \in M$, then $u_1(p) = \frac{1}{6}\tilde{S}cal(p)$. Compute logarithmic term by (3.22)

$$c = -\frac{1}{48\pi} \int_{S^1} r^2 \tilde{S}cal(p) d\text{vol}_N \Big|_{r=0} = 0,$$

since the scalar curvature $\tilde{S}cal(p)$ of a cone over S^1 is zero.

Let α be an angle near the tip of the conic point and compute the constant term. We have $\text{Spec } \Delta_{S^1} = \{\sin \alpha^{-2} k^2 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$, hence

$$\zeta_M(s) = 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{k}{\sin \alpha} \right)^{-s} = 2 \sin \alpha^s \zeta(s),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is Riemann zeta function.

By regular analytic continuation of Riemann zeta function we obtain

$$\text{Res}_0 \zeta_M(-1) = -2 \sin \alpha^{-1} \frac{1}{12} = -\frac{1}{6 \sin \alpha}.$$

The residues are

$$\text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(2j-1) = \text{Res} \zeta(2j-1) = 0 \text{ for } j \geq 2$$

and

$$\text{Res}_1 \zeta_M(1) = 2 \sin \alpha \text{Res} \zeta(1) = 2 \sin \alpha.$$

Thus the constant term in the expansion is

$$b = \frac{1}{12 \sin \alpha} - \frac{\sin \alpha}{12}.$$

We have $a_0 = \text{vol}(M)$, $a_1 = \frac{1}{6} \int_{M \setminus U} \tilde{S} \text{cal}(p) d\text{vol}_M$ and $a_j = \int_{M \setminus U} u_j(p) d\text{vol}_M$ and none of a_j needs regularization. Therefore in case of $\dim M = 2$ only the constant term feels the presence of the singularity. Finally,

$$\text{tr } e^{-t\Delta_0} \sim_{t \rightarrow 0+} (4\pi t)^{-\frac{\dim M}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j + \frac{1}{12 \sin \alpha} - \frac{\sin \alpha}{12}. \quad (4.2)$$

4.2 4-dimensional manifold with conic singularity

Consider a compact manifold of dimension 4 with one conic singularity. As before we use symbols with tilde for tensors on M , and without tilde for tensors on cross section N . Recall the Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\dim M = 4$.*

- (1) *Logarithmic term in the heat trace expansion is equal to zero if and only if the neighbourhood of the singularity is locally isometric to a disk D^4 .*
- (2) *Assume that logarithmic term in the heat trace expansion is equal to zero. Then no regularization is needed for a_j , for $j \geq 0$. In this case only constant term feels the presence of a singularity.*

Proof of the Theorem 1.2. To compute the logarithmic term use (3.22) and apply (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\frac{1}{2}(4\pi)^{-2} \left(\int_N r^4 u_2(p) d\text{vol}_N \right) \Big|_{r=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \left(\int_N r^4 (12\Delta_M \tilde{S}cal(p) + 5\tilde{S}cal(p)^2 - 2|\tilde{R}ic(p)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2|\tilde{R}(p)|^2) d\text{vol}_N \right) \Big|_{r=0}, \end{aligned}$$

here we denote by tilde local quantities on M and without tilde local quantities on N , and $\Delta_M = -\partial_r^2 - 3r^{-1}\partial_r + r^{-2}\Delta_N$.

By (3.6) scalar curvature on M is

$$\tilde{S}cal(p) = r^{-2}(Scal(x) - 6).$$

By (3.8) Ricci curvature tensor is

$$\tilde{R}ic_{ij}(p) = r^{-2}(Ric_{ij}(x) - 2g_{ij}(x)),$$

with norm

$$|\tilde{R}ic(p)|^2 := \tilde{R}ic_{ij}(p)\tilde{R}ic_{ij}(p)g^{ii}g^{jj} = r^{-4}(|Ric(x)|^2 - 4Scal(x) + 12).$$

By (3.7) Riemann curvature tensor is

$$\tilde{R}_{ijkl}(p) = r^{-2}(R_{ijkl}(x) - g_{ip}(x)g_{jm}(x)(\delta_k^p\delta_l^m - \delta_l^p\delta_k^m)),$$

with norm

$$|\tilde{R}(p)|^2 := \tilde{R}_{ijkl}(p)\tilde{R}_{ijkl}(p)g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}g^{ll} = r^{-4}(|R(x)|^2 - 4Scal(x) + 12).$$

Compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_N r^4 \Delta_M \tilde{S}cal(p) d\text{vol}_N \\ &= r^4 \int_N (-\partial_r^2 - 3r^{-1}\partial_r + r^{-2}\Delta_N) \left(r^{-2}(Scal(x) - 6) \right) d\text{vol}_N \\ &= r^4 \int_N \left(-6r^{-4}(Scal(x) - 6) + 3 \cdot 2r^{-4}(Scal(x) - 6) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + r^{-4}\Delta_N(Scal(x) - 6) \right) d\text{vol}_N \\ &= \int_N \Delta_N(Scal(x) - 6) d\text{vol}_N = 0, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality is true, because for any smooth function τ on a compact manifold N we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_N \Delta_N \tau dvol_N &= \int_N (d^\dagger d\tau) dvol_N = \int_N (d^\dagger d\tau, 1)_x dvol_N \\ &= \int_N (d\tau, d1)_x dvol_N = \int_N (d\tau, 0)_x dvol_N = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$c = -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \int_N (5(Scal(x) - 6)^2 - 2|Ric(x)|^2 + 2|R(x)|^2) dvol_N.$$

For $\dim N = 3$ Ricci curvature determines the full curvature tensor and we have the identity at every point $x \in N$

$$|R(x)|^2 = 4|Ric(x)|^2 - Scal^2(x),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}c &= -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \int_N \left(5(Scal(x) - 6)^2 - 2Scal^2(x) + 6|Ric(x)|^2 \right) dvol_N \\ &= -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \int_N \left(5(Scal(x) - 6)^2 - 2Scal^2(x) + 24Scal(x) - 2 \cdot 36 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6(|Ric(x)|^2 - 4Scal(x) + 12) \right) dvol_N \\ &= -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \int_N \left(3(Scal(x) - 6)^2 + 6(Ric_{ij}(x) - 2g_{ij})^2 \right) dvol_N.\end{aligned}$$

This expression is equal to zero if and only if $Scal(x) = 6$ and $Ric_{ij} = 2g_{ij}(x)$, i.e. N is locally isometric to a unit sphere S^3 , equivalently neighbourhood of the singularity U is locally isometric to D^4 .

We have proved the first part of the theorem.

(2) If logarithmic term is zero, then the neighbourhood of the singularity is locally isometric to a disk D^4 . The disk is flat, hence the curvature tensor vanishes for every $p \in U$ and $u_j(r, x) = 0$ for $j > 0$. Therefore all integrals that need regularization near the singularity vanish

$$\int_U u_j(p) dvol_M = 0$$

and no regularization is needed for a_j , for any $j \geq 0$. Thus the only term that feels the presence of a singularity is the constant term b .

□

4.3 Example $N = S_A^3$

Consider a compact 4-dimensional manifold with one conic singularity and the following metric near the singularity

$$g_U = dr^2 + r^2 g_N,$$

where N is a three-dimensional sphere $N = S_A^3$ with radius $A > 0$. Then

$$g_N = A^2(d\varphi_1^2 + \sin^2 \varphi_1 d\varphi_2^2 + \sin^2 \varphi_1 \sin^2 \varphi_2 d\varphi_3^2),$$

and $\text{vol}(S_A^3) = 2\pi^2 A^3$.

Compute the norm of Ricci curvature tensor on S_A^3

$$|\text{Ric}(x)|^2 = |2\frac{1}{A^2}g_{ij}(x)|^2 = 4\frac{1}{A^4}g_{ij}(x)g_{ij}(x)g^{ii}(x)g^{jj}(x) = \frac{12}{A^4}$$

and scalar curvature

$$\text{Scal}(x) = \frac{6}{A^2}.$$

By (3.22) the logarithmic term is

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_A^3} r^4 u_2(p) d\text{vol}_{S_A^3} \big|_{r=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{720} (4\pi)^{-2} \int_{S_A^3} \left[5(\text{Scal}(x) - 6)^2 + 6|\text{Ric}(x)|^2 - 2\text{Scal}(x)^2 \right] d\text{vol}_{S_A^3} \\ &= -\frac{1}{720} (4\pi)^{-2} \frac{5 \cdot 36(A^2 - 1)^2}{A^4} \text{vol}(S_A^3) \\ &= -\frac{(A^2 - 1)^2}{32A}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the logarithmic term in the heat trace expansion on the compact manifold with isolated conic singularity of type cone over a sphere S_A^3 is zero if and only if $A = 1$, i.e. the sphere has a unit radius. Let us compute the constant term in this case. In order to do this we consider zeta function $\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{\lambda_k \in \text{Spec} \Delta_N} \left(\sqrt{\lambda_k + \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} - 1) + 1/4} \right)^{-s}$.

Lemma 4.2. (*[BGM] p.160 Prop. C.I.1, Cor. C.I.3*) A sphere S^n has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k = k(n + k - 1), \quad k \geq 0,$$

with multiplicities

$$\mu_k = \frac{(n + k - 2)(n + k - 3) \dots (n + 1)n}{k!} (n + 2k - 1).$$

In our case $n = 3$ and the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_k = k(k+2),$$

the corresponding multiplicities are

$$\mu_k = (k+1)^2.$$

The zeta function

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_M(s) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \mu_k \left(\sqrt{\lambda_k + 1} \right)^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} (k+1)^2 (k^2 + 2k + 1)^{-s/2} = 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (k+1)^{-s+2} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{-s+2} \left(1 + (-s+2)k^{-1} + \frac{(-s+2)(-s+1)}{2} k^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(-s+2)(-s+1)(-s)}{6} k^{-3} + \frac{(-s+2)(-s+1)(-s)(-s-1)}{24} k^{-4} + \dots \right) \\ &= 1 + \zeta(s-2) - (s-2)\zeta(s-1) + \frac{(s-2)(s-1)}{2} \zeta(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{(s-2)(s-1)s}{6} \zeta(s+1) + \frac{(s-2)(s-1)s(s+1)}{24} \zeta(s+2) + \rho(s),\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is Riemann zeta function and $\rho(s)$ is analytic for $s > -2$. The third equality is due to generalized binomial theorem. The above expression deforms to a sum of Riemann zeta functions of the form $\zeta(s+j)$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \geq -2$. We compute the residues

$$Res_1 \zeta_M(-1) = 0 = Res_1 \zeta_M(1)$$

and

$$Res_1 \zeta_M(3) = 1.$$

Since $\zeta(-3) = \frac{1}{120}$ and $\zeta(-1) = -\frac{1}{12}$ and $\zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$Res_0 \zeta_M(-1) = 1 + \frac{1}{120} - 3\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{120}.$$

Therefore

$$b = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{30} = 0,$$

the constant coefficient coming from the singularity vanishes. This example shows that for $N = S_A^3$ the logarithmic term vanishes if and only if $A = 1$, i.e. the angle at the tip of cone over S^3 is exactly $\pi/2$. Moreover for $N = S^3$ the constant term coming from the singularity vanishes as well and the heat trace expansion on M looks exactly like in case of smooth compact manifold.

4.4 Example $N = \mathbb{R}P^3$

Consider now the following metric near the singularity

$$g_U = dr^2 + r^2 g_N,$$

where $N = \mathbb{R}P^3$.

Note that N is locally isometric to a unit sphere and cone over $\mathbb{R}P^3$ is a flat manifold, i.e. curvature tensor is zero. Compute the logarithmic term by (3.22)

$$\begin{aligned} c &= -\frac{1}{2}(4\pi)^{-2} \left(\int_N r^4 u_2(r, x) d\text{vol}_N \right) \Big|_{r=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \left(\int_N r^4 (5\tilde{Scal}^2 - 2|\tilde{Ric}|^2 + 2|\tilde{R}|^2) d\text{vol}_N \right) \Big|_{r=0} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

To compute the constant term we need information about the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on N .

Proposition 4.3. (*[BGM] Proposition C.II.1 p.166*) *Let $(\mathbb{R}P^n, g_{S^n})$ be a projective space. Laplace-Beltrami operator has the following eigenvalues on $\mathbb{R}P^n$*

$$\lambda_k = 2k(n + 2k - 1), \quad k \geq 0,$$

with multiplicities

$$\frac{(2k + n - 2)!}{(2k)!(n - 1)!} (n + 4k - 1).$$

For $n = 3$ we have $\lambda_k = 4k(k + 1)$ with multiplicity $(2k + 1)^2$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_M(s) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} (2k + 1)^2 (\lambda_k + 1)^{-s/2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} (2k + 1)^2 (2k + 1)^{-s} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2k + 1)^{-s+2} = 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2k)^{-s+2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}k^{-1} \right)^{-s+2} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2k)^{-s+2} \left(1 + \frac{-s+2}{2}k^{-1} + \frac{(-s+2)(-s+1)}{8}k^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(-s+2)(-s+1)(-s)}{48}k^{-3} + \frac{(-s+2)(-s+1)(-s)(-s-1)}{4!2^4}k^{-4} + \dots \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to binomial series. Let $\zeta(s)$ be Riemann zeta function, then

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_M(s) = & 1 + 2^{-s+2} \left(\zeta(s-2) - \frac{s-2}{2} \zeta(s-1) + \frac{(s-2)(s-1)}{8} \zeta(s) \right. \\ & - \frac{(s-2)(s-1)s}{48} \zeta(s+1) + \frac{(s-2)(s-1)s(s+1)}{4!2^4} \zeta(s+2) \\ & \left. + \rho(s) \right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(s)$ is analytic for $s > -2$.

Compute

$$Res_0 \zeta_M(-1) = 1 + 2^3(\zeta(-3) + \frac{3}{2}\zeta(-2) + \frac{6}{8}\zeta(-1) + \frac{6}{48}\zeta(0) - \frac{1}{64}) = -\frac{7}{120}$$

and the residues

$$Res_1 \zeta_M(1) = 2Res_1(0 \cdot \zeta(1)) = 0,$$

$$Res_1 \zeta_M(3) = 2^{-1} Res_1 \zeta(1) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$Res_1 \zeta_M(-1) = 0.$$

Hence the constant term is

$$b = \frac{1}{32}$$

and the logarithmic term is

$$c = 0.$$

Thus, $N = \mathbb{R}P^3$ is an example of a cross section, that has S^3 as its covering, but gives a non vanishing constant term b . Recall that for a sphere S^3 constant term $b = 0$. It shows that b does not obey multiplicative rule for coverings, hence cannot be written as an integral of local data. This proves claim (2) in Theorem 1.1.

4.5 Example $N = T^3$

This example illustrates, that the constant term b is not always easily computable. Consider a compact 4-dimensional manifold with one conic singularity and the following metric near the singularity

$$g_U = dr^2 + r^2 g_N,$$

where N is a three dimensional flat torus $N = T^3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$.

The torus itself is a flat manifold, but cone over it has a nonzero curvature. Scalar curvature in polar coordinates $p = (r, x^1, \dots, x^n)$ is

$$\tilde{Scal}(p) = r^{-2}(Scal(x) - n(n-1)) = -6r^{-2}.$$

Riemann curvature tensor and Ricci curvature are

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{ijkl}(p) &= r^{-2}(R_{ijkl}(x) - g_{ip}(x)g_{jm}(x)(\delta_k^p\delta_l^m - \delta_l^p\delta_k^m)) \\ &= -r^{-2}g_{ip}(x)g_{jm}(x)(\delta_k^p\delta_l^m - \delta_l^p\delta_k^m),\end{aligned}$$

with norm

$$|\tilde{R}(p)|^2 = 12,$$

and

$$\tilde{Ric}_{ij}(p) = r^{-2}(Ric_{ij}(x) - (n-1)g_{ij}(x)) = -2r^{-2}g_{ij}(x),$$

with norm

$$|\tilde{Ric}(p)|^2 = 12.$$

Therefore we can compute the logarithmic term

$$\begin{aligned}c &= -\frac{1}{2}(4\pi)^{-2} \int_{T^3} r^4 u_2(p, p) dvol_{T^3}|_{r=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \int_{T^3} r^4 (5\tilde{R}^2 - 2|\tilde{Ric}|^2 + 2|\tilde{R}|^2) dvol_{T^3}|_{r=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{720}(4\pi)^{-2} \int_{T^3} (5 \cdot 36 - 24 + 24) dvol_{T^3} \\ &= -\frac{1}{32\pi^2} vol(T^3).\end{aligned}$$

We see that it is non zero. Now we try to compute the constant term

$$\begin{aligned}b &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{Res}_0 \zeta_{\Delta_N}(-1) + \frac{\Gamma'(-\frac{1}{2})}{8\sqrt{\pi}}\text{Res}_1 \zeta_{\Delta_N}(-1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} B_{2j} \text{Res}_1 \zeta_{\Delta_N}(2j-1).\end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of Laplace operator on torus are

$$\{4\pi^2|n|^2, \text{ where } n = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3\},$$

therefore

$$\zeta_M(s) = \sum_{\lambda_k \in \text{Spec} \Delta_N} \left(\sqrt{\lambda_k + 1} \right)^{-s} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(4\pi^2(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2) + 1 \right)^{-s/2}.$$

On the right hand side we have Epstein function, which satisfies the following recurrence relation from [E] p.78-79. Use the notation from the book

$$E_k(s; a_1, \dots, a_k, c) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(a_1 n_1^2 + \dots + a_k n_k^2 + c \right)^{-s},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(s; a_1, \dots, a_k, c) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a_k}} \frac{\Gamma(s-1/2)}{\Gamma(s)} E_{k-1}(s; a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, c) \\ &\quad + \frac{4\pi^s}{\Gamma(s)} a_k^{-s/2-1/4} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j n_j^2 + c \right)^{-s/2+1/4} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n_k=1}^{\infty} n_k^{s-1/2} K_{s-1/2} \left(\frac{2\pi n_k}{\sqrt{a_k}} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j n_j^2 + c} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

In these notations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_M(s) &= E_3(s/2; 4\pi^2, 4\pi^2, 4\pi^2, 1) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(s/2-1/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)} E_2(s/2; 4\pi^2, 4\pi^2, 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{4\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} (4\pi^2)^{-s/4-1/4} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 4\pi^2 n_2^2 + 1 \right)^{-s/4+1/4} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n_3=1}^{\infty} n_3^{s/2-1/2} K_{s/2-1/2} \left(n_3 \sqrt{4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 4\pi^2 n_2^2 + 1} \right) \\ &=: \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(s/2-1/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)} E_2(s/2; 4\pi^2, 4\pi^2, 1) + E_3^3(s). \end{aligned}$$

Use the recurrence formula (4.3) for $E_2(s/2; 4\pi^2, 4\pi^2, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(s/2; 4\pi^2, 4\pi^2, 1) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s/2 - 1/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)}} E_1(s/2; 4\pi^2, 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{4\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} (4\pi^2)^{-s/4-1/4} \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 1 \right)^{-s/4+1/4} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty} n_2^{s/2-1/2} K_{s/2-1/2} \left(n_2 \sqrt{4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_M(s) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s/2 - 1/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s/2 - 1/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)}} E_1(s/2; 4\pi^2, 1) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s/2 - 1/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)}} \frac{4\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} (4\pi^2)^{-s/4-1/4} \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 1 \right)^{-s/4+1/4} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty} n_2^{s/2-1/2} K_{s/2-1/2} \left(n_2 \sqrt{4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 1} \right) + E_3^3(s) \\ &=: E_3^1(s) + E_3^2(s) + E_3^3(s). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Gamma(s/2 - 1/2)$ has poles at $s = -1, -3, -5, \dots$ and $E_1(s/2; 4\pi^2, 1)$ has poles at $s = 1, -1, -3, -5, \dots$ and $\sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 1 \right)^{-s/4+1/4}$ has poles at $s = 3, -1, -5, -9, \dots$ e.t.c.

Compute using generalised binomial theorem

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(s/2; 4\pi^2, 1) &= \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} (4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 1)^{-s/2} = \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-s/2} (1 + (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-1})^{-s/2} \\ &= \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{N}} 2(2\pi n_1)^{-s} \left(1 - \frac{s}{2} (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-1} + \frac{-\frac{s}{2}(-\frac{s}{2} - 1)}{2} (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{-\frac{s}{2}(-\frac{s}{2} - 1)(-\frac{s}{2} - 2)}{6} (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-3} + \rho(s) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{N}} (2\pi)^{-s} \left(2\zeta(s) - \frac{s}{4\pi^2} \zeta(s+2) + \frac{s(s+2)}{64\pi^4} \zeta(s+4) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{s(s+2)(s+4)}{24 \cdot 64\pi^6} \zeta(s+6) + \rho_1(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is Riemann zeta function and the function $\rho_1(s)$ is analytic for $s > -5$.

By the same technique compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(4\pi^2 n_1^2 + 1 \right)^{-s/4+1/4} = \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-s/4+1/4} \left(1 + (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-1} \right)^{-s/4+1/4} \\
& = 2 \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{N}} (2\pi n_1)^{-s/2+1/2} \left(1 + \left(-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \right) (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\left(-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \left(-\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - 1 \right)}{2} (4\pi^2 n_1^2)^{-2} + \dots \right) \\
& = 2 \sum_{n_1 \in \mathbb{N}} (2\pi)^{-s/2+1/2} \left(n_1^{-s/2+1/2} - \frac{s-1}{16\pi^2} n_1^{-s/2+1/2-2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{(s-1)(s+3)}{32 \cdot 16\pi^4} n_1^{-s/2+1/2-4} + \rho_2(s) \right) \\
& = 2(2\pi)^{-s/2+1/2} \left(\zeta(s/2 - 1/2) - \left(\frac{s-1}{16\pi^2} \right) \zeta(s/2 + 3/2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{(s-1)(s+3)}{32 \cdot 16\pi^4} \zeta(s/2 + 7/2) + \rho_2(s) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_2(s)$ is analytic for $s > -5$.

In the expression above there is an infinite sum involving Riemann zeta functions $\zeta(s + 2k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore the $Res_0 \zeta_M(-1)$ has no clear and nice expression and so does the constant term in the heat trace expansion. However we have already seen that the logarithmic term c is non zero so the manifold M has a singularity even if $b = 0$.

References

- [BGM] M.Berger, P.Gauduchon, E.Mazet, *Le spectre d'une Variété Riemannienne*, Lect. Notes Math. 194, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1971)
- [BL] J. Brüning and M. Lesch, *On the spectral geometry of algebraic curves*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 474, 25-66 (1996)
- [BS] J. Brüning and R. Seeley, *The resolvent expansion for second order regular singular operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 73, 369-429 (1987)

- [BS2] J. Brüning and R. Seeley, *An index theorem for first order regular singular operators*, Am. J. Math. 110, 659-714 (1988)
- [E] E. Elizalde, *Ten physical applications of spectral zeta functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1995)
- [DS] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part II*, Interscience, New York (1963)
- [G] P. Gilkey, *Asymptotic formulae in Spectral geometry*, Studies in Adv. Mathematics, CRC Press (2004)
- [L] M. Lesch *Operators of Fuchs type, conical singularities, and asymptotic methods*, Tuebner-Texte zur Mathematik, vol. 136 (1997)
- [O] F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Mellin transforms*, Springer, Berlin (1974)
- [W] J. Weidmann, *Linear operator in Hilbert spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987)
- [WW] E. Whittaker, G. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1996)